



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,985	02/28/2001	Kyogo Itoh	0020-4817P	3467

2292 7590 01/07/2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

HELMS, LARRY RONALD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1642

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/763,985

Applicant(s)

ITOH ET AL.

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-18 and 21-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5, 19, 21 and 32-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1, 5, 8, 9.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-5, 19 and 7-8 and 21 in part in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 6, 9-18, 20, 22-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 11.

3. Claims 1-2 have been canceled.

Claims 3-4, 7-8, 19, 21, 23, 25-26 and 32 have been amended. Claims 19 and 32 were amended in the amendment filed 10/22/02.

Claims 32-34 have been added.

4. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 19, 21, 32-34 are under examination.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, such as for example on pages 21, 29, and 66. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code throughout the application. See MPEP § 608.01.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 19, 21, 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

a. Claim 32 and those dependent from claim 32 are indefinite for reciting "wherein said polynucleotide encodes a tumor antigen protein" in claim 32 part (c) because the exact meaning of the phrase is not clear. It is not clear which polynucleotide encodes a tumor antigen is it that in part (c) or those in parts (a) or (b).

b. Claim 19 and those that depend from claim 19 are indefinite for reciting "peptide fragments of the protein" in claim 19 because the exact meaning of the phrase is not clear. It is not clear if the peptide fragments are the entire protein encoded by the DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 or are only the fragments in part (c).

c. Claim 33 is indefinite for reciting "at least one of the nucleic acids of claim 19" because claim 19 only has one nucleic acid.

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1642

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 19, 21, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to polynucleotides which hybridizes with a polynucleotide of (a) to (b) under stringent conditions wherein the polynucleotide encodes a tumor antigen protein (see claim 32). While the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 and the polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 are adequately described in the specification as-filed, thereby providing an adequate basis for the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 and the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1; there is insufficient written description as to the identity of a polynucleotide that hybridizes to the DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 and encodes a tumor antigen protein. Consequently, the specification does not provide an adequate written description of a polynucleotide that hybridizes to the DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 and encodes a tumor antigen protein.

The specification as filed does not provide adequate written description support for a polynucleotide that hybridizes to the DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 and encodes a tumor antigen protein. Thus a broad genus having potentially highly diverse sequences and functions (the specification discloses a method for identifying tumor antigen peptides on page 22, lines 18-26, however, the specification discloses "if the candidate induces CTL...it is indicated that the particular candidate peptide may function as a tumor antigen peptide") is encompassed by the phrase and conception

cannot be achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method. The specification indicates that the candidate "may" function as a tumor antigen but the specification does not set forth a definitive definition or activity or function that is readily screenable to determine a tumor antigen. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention. The sequence itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Therefore, only a polypeptide that encodes SEQ ID NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:1 meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the written description inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116.). Consequently, Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398.

Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

Applicant is invited to point to clear support or specific examples of the claimed invention in the specification as-filed.

10. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 19, 21, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986). They include the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the amount of direction or guidance disclosed in the specification, the presence or absence of working examples, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, the breadth of the claims, and the quantity of experimentation which would be required in order to practice the invention as claimed.

The claims are broadly drawn to polynucleotides which encode SEQ ID NO:2 or polynucleotides of SEQ ID NO:1 or polynucleotides which hybridize with the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 and expression plasmids comprising such and transformants comprising such and pharmaceutical compositions comprising polynucleotides encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 or polynucleotides which hybridize with the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 and pharmaceutical compositions for the treatment or prevention of tumors with compositions comprising polynucleotides encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:1 or polynucleotides which hybridize with the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1.

While the specification discloses how to make SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2 the specification does not teach how to use the claimed invention. The specification contemplates the use of the polynucleotides in cancer vaccines for the treatment and prevention of tumors (see page 15-16), however the specification does not teach the intended use of the polynucleotides for treatment or prevention of tumors.

The specification provides no exemplification of or guidance on how to use the claimed vaccine formulation or antigen for activity immunotherapy in humans for prevention or treatment of tumors. The specification does not enable prevention of tumor and does not exemplify any such methods. The goal of tumor vaccination is the induction of tumor immunity to prevent tumor recurrence and to eliminate residual disease. However, Ezzell (J. NIH Res, 1995, 7:46-49) reviews the current thinking in cancer vaccines and states that tumor immunologists are reluctant to place bets on which cancer vaccine approach will prove effective in the long run (see the entire document, particularly last paragraph) and further states that no one is very optimistic that a single peptide will trigger an immune response strong enough to eradicate tumors or even to prevent the later growth of micrometastases among patients whose tumors have been surgically removed or killed by radiation or chemotherapy (p 48, para 6). In addition, Spitler (Cancer Biotherapy, 1995, 10:1-3) recognizes the lack of predictability of the nature of the art when she states that "Ask practicing oncologists what they think about cancer vaccines and you're likely to get the following response: "cancer vaccines don't work". Ask a venture capitalist or the director of product development at a large pharmaceutical company and you're likely to get the same response." (p 1, para 1).

Furthermore, Boon (Adv Can Res, 1992, 58:177-210) teaches that for active immunization in human patients we have to stimulate immune defenses of organisms that have often carried a large tumor burden. Establishment of immune tolerance may therefore have occurred and it may prevent immunization and several lines of evidence suggest that large tumor burdens can tolerize or at least depress the capability to respond against the tumor (p. 206, para 2). There is no suggestion in the specification that the expression of these antigens from the polynucleotide has resulted in autoantibodies against the antigen thus it would be highly unpredictable that administration of the polynucleotide that encodes the antigen as a cancer vaccine, into patients would lead to an effective immune response against the tumor. In addition, Gaiger et al (Blood 96:1480-1489, 2000) teach that immunization with a tumor antigen WT1 did not show any effects on tumor growth in vivo (see abstract).

In addition, claim 32 recites a polynucleotide that hybridizes with (a) or (b) which encodes a tumor antigen protein. The specification does not teach how to identify a tumor antigen (see above 112 first rejection) and as such one would not know how to use polynucleotides that hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 or hybridize to polynucleotides that encode SEQ ID NO:2 for treatment or prevention of tumors. In addition, the function of binding to HLA antigen and are recognized by cytotoxic T lymphocytes are not a function that is specific to the protein (see claims 19 and 32).

Therefore, due the unpredictability of cancer vaccines in general, as evidenced by Ezzell, Spitler, Gaiger and Boon and in view of the insufficient guidance and/or working examples concerning the use the claimed polypeptides as vaccines, one skilled

Art Unit: 1642

in the art would not know how to practice the broadly claimed invention without undue experimentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 7-8, 19, 21, 23, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagase et al (DNA Res. 2:167-174, 1995).

The claims recite a polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or hybridizing to SEQ ID NO:2 which is a tumor antigen protein and polynucleotides which encode a tumor antigen wherein the tumor antigen protein gives rise to peptide fragments and bind to HLA antigen and are recognized by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and compositions comprising such. For this rejection the intended use of a pharmaceutical composition and pharmaceutical composition for treatments or prevention of tumor is given no patentable weight.

Nagase et al teach a protein identical to SEQ ID NO:2 and DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 (see Table 1 for KIAA0156 (see the attached sequence alignment on the back of this Office Action). The polynucleotide of Nagase et al would hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 or the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 under the recited conditions and since the protein of Nagase et al is identical to SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application, it

Art Unit: 1642

would be inherent that the protein of Nagase et al would give peptides that would bind to HLA antigen and be recognized by cytotoxic T lymphocytes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1642

14. Claims 3-5, 7-8, 19, 21, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagase et al (DNA Res. 2:167-174, 1995) as applied to claims 7-8, 19, 21, 32-33 above, and further in view of Campbell (Monoclonal antibody technology, Elsevier Science Publishers, Chapter 1, pages 1-32) and Sambrook et al (Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, Chapters 3 and 12, 1989).

Claims 7-8, 19, 21, 32-33 have been described supra. Claims 3-5, and 34 recite an expression plasmid with the polynucleotide and a transformant transformed with the expression plasmid and a method of producing the protein.

Nagase et al has been described supra. Nagase et al does not teach an expression plasmid or a transformant with the expression plasmid. This deficiency is made up for in the teachings of Campbell and Sambrook et al.

Campbell teach that it is customary now for any group working on a macromolecule to both clone the genes coding for it and make monoclonal antibodies to it for basic research (see page 29).

Sambrook et al teach expression plasmids and host cells for expression of proteins.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to have placed the DNA of Nagase et al in an expression plasmid to produce the protein.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to and had a reasonable expectation of success to have placed the DNA of Nagase et al in an expression plasmid to produce the protein because Campbell teach it is customary now

Art Unit: 1642

for any group working on a macromolecule to both clone the genes coding for it and make monoclonal antibodies to it for basic research (see page 29). In addition, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to and had a reasonable expectation of success to have placed the DNA of Nagase et al in an expression plasmid to produce the protein because Sambrook et al teach expression vectors and methods of expression of the DNA for structural and biochemical analysis (see page 16.2). Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to have placed the DNA of Nagase et al in an expression plasmid to produce the protein because it is routinely done in basic research to further characterize the protein.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references.

Conclusion

11. No claim is allowed.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (703) 306-5879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be

Art Unit: 1642

reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

13. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4242.

Respectfully,

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

703-306-5879

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'L. Helms', written in a cursive style.

17	243	4.9	557	10	Q9FVQ1	Q9fvql arabidopsis
18	241.5	4.8	1009	5	Q9VAT3	Q9vat3 drosophila
19	239.5	4.8	960	4	Q96E42	Q96e42 homo sapien
20	238.5	4.8	960	4	Q9BPY6	Q9bpy6 homo sapien
21	236.5	4.7	673	10	Q9FNM3	Q9fnn3 arabidopsis
22	234	4.7	836	4	Q9NYD8	Q9nyd8 homo sapien
23	234	4.7	848	4	Q9BZJ1	Q9bzj1 homo sapien
24	233.5	4.7	476	5	Q27199	Q27199 tetrahymena
25	232	4.6	690	11	Q9COC1	Q9ccg1 mus musculus
26	231.5	4.6	724	3	Q9HF03	Q9hfo3 cryptococcus
27	229.5	4.6	675	10	Q9LK51	Q9lk51 arabidopsis
28	226	4.5	575	3	Q9Y7A8	Q9y7a8 neurospora
29	225	4.5	687	4	Q9BZJ2	Q9bzj2 homo sapien
30	224	4.5	836	4	Q9NQH5	Q9nqh5 homo sapien
31	222.5	4.5	599	10	Q9SD35	Q9sd35 arabidopsis
32	219.5	4.4	717	11	Q99LI7	Q99li7 mus musculus
33	217	4.3	564	4	Q9GZW7	Q9gzw7 homo sapien
34	216.5	4.3	708	4	Q96QD6	Q96qd6 homo sapien
35	216.5	4.3	717	4	Q12996	Q12996 homo sapien
36	215	4.3	733	3	Q14233	Q14233 schizosacch
37	214.5	4.3	611	10	Q41042	Q41042 pisum sativ
38	212.5	4.3	524	4	Q14498	Q14498 homo sapien
39	212.5	4.3	594	5	Q9VM49	Q9vm49 drosophila
40	212.5	4.3	883	5	Q9V6S4	Q9v6s4 drosophila
41	211	4.2	495	10	Q9ASP6	Q9asp6 arabidopsis
42	211	4.2	635	10	Q40363	Q40363 medicago sa
43	210	4.2	1456	5	Q9V587	Q9v587 drosophila
44	209.5	4.2	530	4	Q14499	Q14499 homo sapien
45	209	4.2	1022	10	Q9FL22	Q9fl22 arabidopsis

ALIGNMENTS

RESULT 1

ID	Q15020	PRELIMINARY:	PRT:	963 AA.
AC	Q15020;			
DT	01-NOV-1996 (TRENBLrel. 01, Created)			
DT	01-NOV-1996 (TRENBLrel. 01, Last sequence update)			
DT	01-JUN-2001 (TRENBLrel. 17, Last annotation update)			
DE	ORF.			
OS	Homo sapiens (Human).			
OC	Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;			
OC	Mammalia; Eutheria; Primates; Catarrhini; Homidae; Homo.			
OX	NCBI_TaxID=9606;			
RN	[1]			
RP	SEQUENCE FROM N.A.			
RX	MEDLINE=96127530; PubMed=8590280;			
RA	Nagase T., Seki N., Tanaka A., Ishikawa K., Nomura N.;			
RT	"Prediction of the coding sequences of unidentified human genes. IV.			
RT	The coding sequences of 40 new genes (K1AA0121-K1AA0160) deduced by			
RT	analysis of cDNA clones from human cell line KG-1.";			
RL	EMBL; D63879; BAA0929.1; -			
RN	SEQUENCE FROM N.A.			
RP	Ittoh K., Yang D., Sasatomi T., Nakao M., Shichijo S., Takasu H.,			
RA	Matsumoto H., Mori K., Yamana H.;			
RT	"SART-3 (Squamous cell carcinoma antigen recognized by T cells).";			
RL	Submitted (DEC-1998) to the EMBL/GenBank/DBJ databases.			
DR	EMBL; D63879; BAA0929.1; -			
DR	EMBL; AB020880; BAA78384.1; -			
DR	HSP; P09012; ZU1A.			
DR	InterPro; IPR003107; HAT.			
DR	InterPro; IPR000504; RRM.			
DR	Pfam; PF00076; rrm; 2.			
DR	SMART; SM00386; HAT; 6.			
DR	SMART; SM00360; RRM; 2.			
DR	PROSITE; PS50102; RRM; 2.			
DR	PROSITE; PS00030; RRM_RNP_1; UNKNOWN_2.			
SQ	SEQUENCE 963 AA; 109934 MW; 06B26CEB8B19102A CRC64;			

Query Match 100.0%; Score 4994; DB 4; Length 963;
Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 1.2e-298;
Matches 963; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps 0;

Qy 1 MATAETASPEAESKAGPKADGEEDVKAARTRRKVLRAVAATYKTGPAWDOQEE 60
Db 1 MATAETASPEAESKAGPKADGEEDVKAARTRRKVLRAVAATYKTGPAWDOQEE 60

Qy 61 GVSSEGDGEYAMASAESPGSEYEWYDEEENKQLETERLEEQLSINVDYNCHVDLIR 120
Db 61 GVSSEGDGEYAMASAESPGSEYEWYDEEENKQLETERLEEQLSINVDYNCHVDLIR 120

Qy 121 LLRLEGLTKVRMAROKMSEIFPTEELWLEWHLDEISMAODGLDRHVDLFEKAVDY 180
Db 121 LLRLEGLTKVRMAROKMSEIFPTEELWLEWHLDEISMAODGLDRHVDLFEKAVDY 180

Qy 181 ICPNIWLEYGYSGVGIGKQGLKRVSVFERALSSVGLHMTKGLALWEAYREFESAIVE 240
Db 181 ICPNIWLEYGYSGVGIGKQGLKRVSVFERALSSVGLHMTKGLALWEAYREFESAIVE 240

Qy 241 AARLEKHSLEFRROLAIPLYDMEATFAEYEEWSEDPPIPESVIYQNKALQOLEKYPYEE 300
Db 241 AARLEKHSLEFRROLAIPLYDMEATFAEYEEWSEDPPIPESVIYQNKALQOLEKYPYEE 300

Qy 301 ALLOAEAPRLAEYQAYIDFENKIGDPARIQIIFERALVENCLVPDLWIRYSQYLDROLKV 360
Db 301 ALLOAEAPRLAEYQAYIDFENKIGDPARIQIIFERALVENCLVPDLWIRYSQYLDROLKV 360

Qy 361 KDLVLSVHNRAIRNCPWTVALWSRYLLAMERHGVHDQVISTFEKALNAGFIQATDYVEI 420
Db 361 KDLVLSVHNRAIRNCPWTVALWSRYLLAMERHGVHDQVISTFEKALNAGFIQATDYVEI 420

Qy 421 WOAYLDYLRVRVDFKODSSKELEELRAAFRALEYLKOEEVEERFNESEDPSVIMQNWAR 480
Db 421 WOAYLDYLRVRVDFKODSSKELEELRAAFRALEYLKOEEVEERFNESEDPSVIMQNWAR 480

Qy 481 IEARLCNNMOKARELWDSIMTRGNKAYANMWLEYNNLERAHGDTQHCRCALHRAVQCTSD 540
Db 481 IEARLCNNMOKARELWDSIMTRGNKAYANMWLEYNNLERAHGDTQHCRCALHRAVQCTSD 540

Qy 541 YPEHVCEVLLTWERTEGSLEDWDIAVQKTETRLARVNEQRMKAEEKAALVQOEERKAEQ 600
Db 541 YPEHVCEVLLTWERTEGSLEDWDIAVQKTETRLARVNEQRMKAEEKAALVQOEERKAEQ 600

Qy 601 KRRARAEKALKKKKIRGPEKRGADDEDEKWDGDEEOPSKRRRVENSIPAAGETQNV 660
Db 601 KRRARAEKALKKKKIRGPEKRGADDEDEKWDGDEEOPSKRRRVENSIPAAGETQNV 660

Qy 661 EVAAGPAGKCAADVPEPSSKQKAAASLKRDMPKVYLHDSKSDSITVFVSNLPYSMQBPDT 720
Db 661 EVAAGPAGKCAADVPEPSSKQKAAASLKRDMPKVYLHDSKSDSITVFVSNLPYSMQBPDT 720

Qy 721 KLRPLFEACGEVQIRPIFSNRGDFRGYCYVEFKEEKSAALQALEMDRKSVEGRPMFVSPC 780
Db 721 KLRPLFEACGEVQIRPIFSNRGDFRGYCYVEFKEEKSAALQALEMDRKSVEGRPMFVSPC 780

Qy 781 VDKSKNPDKVFRYSTLEKHKLFISGLPFSCTKEELEICKAGTVDKDLRLVTNRAGKP 840
Db 781 VDKSKNPDKVFRYSTLEKHKLFISGLPFSCTKEELEICKAGTVDKDLRLVTNRAGKP 840

Qy 841 KGLAYVEYENESQASQAVMKMDGMTIKENIIKVAISNPPQKRPVPEKPTRKAPGGMLLP 900
Db 841 KGLAYVEYENESQASQAVMKMDGMTIKENIIKVAISNPPQKRPVPEKPTRKAPGGMLLP 900

Qy 901 QTYGARGKGRQTLQSLPRALQRPASAAQPAENGPAAPAAVAPAAATEAPKMSNADFAKLF 960
Db 901 QTYGARGKGRQTLQSLPRALQRPASAAQPAENGPAAPAAVAPAAATEAPKMSNADFAKLF 960

Qy 961 LRK 963
Db 961 LRK 963

Db 1141 TTATGGAGTCGGTACCTCTTTGGCCATGAGAGACATGGAGTTGATCATCAAGTAATTCT 1200
 Qy 1212 gtaaccttcagagaaagcttgaatgccggtctcatccagccactgattatgtggagatt 1271
 Db 1201 GTRACCTTCGAGAAAGCTTTGAATGCCGGCTTCATCCAGGCCACTGATTATGTGGAGATT 1260
 Qy 1272 tggcaggcatacccttgattaccctgagagaaaggttgatttcaaacagactccagtaaa 1331
 Db 1261 TGGCAGGCATACCTTGATTACCTGAGGAGAGGGTTGATTTCAAACAAGACTCCAGTAAA 1320
 Qy 1332 gaactgagagagttgagggccgcttactcgtgccttgaggtatctgaaagcgagggtg 1391
 Db 1321 GAGCTGAGGAGGTGAGGGCCGCTTTACTCGTCCCTGGAGTATCTGAAGCAGGAGGTG 1380
 Qy 1392 gaagagcgtttcaatgagagtggtgatcccaagctggtgattatgagaaactgggtcagg 1451
 Db 1381 GAAGAGGCTTTCAATGAGAGTGGTATCAAGCTGCTGATTATGCAAGACTGGGTAGG 1440
 Qy 1452 attgagagcgtcgtgcaataacatgacagaaagctcgggaactctgggagatcatcag 1511
 Db 1441 ATTGAGGCTCGACTGTGCAATAACATGACAGAAAGCTCGGGAACCTCGGGATAGCATATG 1500
 Qy 1512 accagaggaatcccaagtagccaacatgtggtgaggtattataacactggaagagct 1571
 Db 1501 ACCAGAGGAATGCCAAGTAGCCCAACATGTGGCTAGAGTATTACAACTGGAAGAGCT 1560
 Qy 1572 catggtgacacccagcactgccggaaggtctgcacccggccctccagtgcacacgtgac 1631
 Db 1561 CATGGTGACACCCAGCAGCTGCCGGAAGGCTTCACCGGCCCTCCAGTCCACAGTGAC 1620
 Qy 1632 taccagagcactctgcgaagtgttactcaccatgagagggacagaaaggttctttagaa 1691
 Db 1621 TACCCAGAGCACCTCTCGGAAGTGTACTCACCATGAGAGGACAGAGGTCTTTAGAA 1680
 Qy 1692 gattggatatacgtttcagaaactgaaacccgattagctcgtcgaatgagcgaga 1751
 Db 1681 GATTGGATATAGCTGTTCAGAAACTGAAACCCGATTAGCTCTGTCTCAATGAGCAGAGA 1740
 Qy 1752 atgaaggttcagagaaagcagcccttgcagcaagaagaagaaagatcagagccca 1811
 Db 1741 ATGAAGGCTCAGAGAAAGGAGCAGCCCTTGTGCAGCAAGAAAGAAAGGCTGACAAA 1800
 Qy 1812 cggaaagagctcggctgagaagaagcgtttaaagaagaagaaagatcagagccca 1871
 Db 1801 CGAAAGAGCTCGGGCTGAGAAAGAGCGTTAAAGAAAGAAAGAAATCAGAGGCCCA 1860
 Qy 1872 gagaagcggagacagatgagagcagatgagaagaagtgggcgatgatgaagaagcgag 1931
 Db 1861 GAGAAGCGCGGACGATGAGGACGATGAGAAAGAGTGGGGCGGATGATGAAGAAGAGCAG 1920
 Qy 1932 ctttccaaacgcagaaggttcgagacagcattccctgcagctgagaaacacacaaatgta 1991
 Db 1921 CCTTCCAAAGCAGAGGGTTCGAGAACAGCAGTCCCTGACAGTGGAGAAACAAAATGTA 1980
 Qy 1992 gaagtgcagcagggccgctgggaagtgtgctgcgtagatgtgagcccttcgaag 2051
 Db 1981 GAAGTAGCAGCAGGGCCGCTGGGAATGTGCTGCCGTAGATGTGAGGCCCTTCGAG 2040
 Qy 2052 cagaaggaagcagcctccctgaagagggacatccccaaagtgtgcacagcagcagc 2111
 Db 2041 CAGAAGGAGAGGACGCTCCCTGAAAGAGGAGACATCCCAAGGTGCTGCAGCAGCAGC 2100
 Qy 2112 aaggacagatcaccttcttgcagcaacactgcccatacagcatgagagccggagcag 2171
 Db 2101 AAGCAGAGATCACCTCTTTGTCAGCAACCTGCCCCATACAGCATGAGGAGCGGACAGC 2160
 Qy 2172 aagctcagggcactcttcagggcctgtggaggtgttcagatccgacccatcttcagc 2231
 Db 2161 AAGCTCAGGCCACTCTTCAGGCGCTGTGGGAGGTGGTCCAGATCCGACCCATCTTCAGC 2220
 Qy 2232 aacctggggattccgaggttactgactcgtggaggttttaagaagaagaatcagccctt 2291
 Db 2221 AACCGTGGGATTTCCGAGGTTACTGCTACGTGGAGTTTAAAGAGAGAAATCAGCCCTT 2280

Qy 2292 caggcactggagatgagccggaaaaagtgtagaaggaggagccaatgtttgtttccccctgt 2351
 Db 2281 CAGGCTACTGGAGATGACCGGAAAAGTGTAGAAGGAGGAGCCAAATGTTGTTCCTCCCTGT 2340
 Qy 2352 gtggataagagcaaaaccccgattttaaggtgttcaggtacagcactcccttagagaaa 2411
 Db 2341 GTGGATAGAGCAAAAACCCCGATTAAAGGTGTCAGGTACAGCACTTCCCCTAGAGAAA 2400
 Qy 2412 cacaagctttcatctcagggctgcttctctctgtactataaagaggaactagaagaatc 2471
 Db 2401 CACAAGCTGTCATCTCAGGCTGCTTCTCTCTGTACTAAAGAGAACTAGAAGAAATC 2460
 Qy 2472 tgaagctcctagcagccctgaagcactcagggctggtcaccacacgggctggaaccca 2531
 Db 2461 TGTAAAGGCTCATGGGACCTGAGGACCTCAGGCTGGTACCACACGGGCTGGCAACCA 2520
 Qy 2532 aaggcctggtcctacgtggtgagtaaaatgaatccacagggctgcagggctgtgatgaag 2591
 Db 2521 AAGGCTGCTGCTACGTGAGTATGAATGAATCCAGGCTGCGAGGCTGTGATGAAG 2580
 Qy 2592 atggacggcatgactatcaaaagagaacatcatcaaaagtggcaatcagcaacccctccag 2651
 Db 2581 ATGGACGGCATGACTATCAAGAGAAACATCATCAAAAGTGGCAATCAGAACCTCTCAG 2640
 Qy 2652 agaaagtccagagaagcagagaccaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaag 2711
 Db 2641 AGGAAAGTTCCAGAGAAAGCAGAGACCAGGAAGGACACAGGTGGCCCATGCTTTGGCC 2700
 Qy 2712 cagacatacggagcaggggggaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaaggaag 2771
 Db 2701 CAGACATACGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGG 2760
 Qy 2772 cagcggcccaagtgtcagctcctcaggtgagaaagcggccctgcgcggcgtcctcagtt 2831
 Db 2761 CAGCGCCCAAGTGTCTCAGCTCTCAGGCTGAGAACGGCCCTGCCGCGCTCTCGAGTT 2820
 Qy 2832 gcccggccagcagccacccagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagcagc 2891
 Db 2821 GCGCCCCAGCAGCCACCCAGGACCCCAAGATGTCCAATGCCGATTTGCCAAGCTGTGT 2880
 Qy 2892 ctgagaaagtgaacgggagcgtggagacaggaagaaatgccttactcactcctgcccggcg 2951
 Db 2881 CTGAGAAAGTGAAGGAGCGCTGGGAGACAGGAATGCCCTTACTTCTGCGCCGCGG 2940
 Qy 2952 gacctccaccaccacccagcagtcactggggatggagacggcctgggtgctgctgcgtcgc 3011
 Db 2941 GACCTCCCAACCCAGCAGTGCAGCTGGGGATGGACAGGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGC 3000
 Qy 3012 aaccacagatgctcctcgtgttagacagaagaaggaggaggggttataagtaagaagcct 3071
 Db 3001 AACCCAGATGCTCTCGGCTTTAGACAGAAAGGGAAGGGGTTCTTAAGTCAAGAGCCT 3060
 Qy 3072 ttcagtgcctccctcatattgagggcagtgagcagaaagtgcacactcctcagggctggcc 3131
 Db 3061 TTCAGTGTCTCCCTCATATTAGGGCAGTGGCAGAAAGTGCACACTCTGCAGGCTGGGC 3120
 Qy 3132 caggatgtggtgctcctgagatagtttgccttataaagactgagggagagcaggaagcag 3191
 Db 3121 CAGGATGTGCTCCTGAGATAGTTTGTATCTTAAAGACTGAGGACACAGAGCGAAGC 3180
 Qy 3192 agaacacactgtttttgagacacagttgtccaaatgtttctggccagctcccgcccttt 3251
 Db 3181 AGAACACACTGTTTGTGAGACACAGTTGTCCAAATGTTTCTGCCAGCTCCGCCCCCTT 3240
 Qy 3252 ttgtatgacacttctctccacctgcacagacacatgtgcccgtcattcttttaatttca 3311
 Db 3241 TTGTATGACACTTCTTCTCCACCTGCACAGACATGTGCCGCTCATCTTTAAATTTTA 3300
 Qy 3312 aaagtgaatggcagatgctagtaattcacagaaatgcctctgtgggggtggtctga 3371
 Db 3301 AAAGATGAATGGCAGATGCTAGTAATTCACAGAAATGGCCTCTTGTGGGGGTGGGTCTGA 3360

```
QY 3372 ggaagtcagctataaacaatttctgagtttcttcaatgggctgtgcatattata 3431
Db 3361 GGGAAATCAGCTATAAAACATTTCTGGAGTTTGTTCATGGGCTGTGCATTTTATA 3420
QY 3432 ttatgtttgttaaatgacatgtcagccttggtttcattgttcttaaaagcagaatatt 3491
Db 3421 TTATGTGTTGTAAATGACATGTTCAGCCTTGTGTTTCATGTTTCTTAAAGCAGAATATT 3480
QY 3492 gcaacatttgtttgtataggaaattattgtgccacctgtgtgagctgttttctttgcc 3551
Db 3481 GCRAACATTTGTTGTATAGGAATTATTGTGCCACCTGCTGTGGACTGTTTCTTTGCC 3540
QY 3552 tagtgaactagacactgtgtgtctataacatgagtttccagccctttgtttgtttaata 3611
Db 3541 TAGTGACTAGTGACCTGTGTTGTCTAAACATGAGTTTCAGCCCTTTGGTTTGTTTAATA 3600
QY 3612 ccattgtcaaatgcaaaacttcaattctcccatttagctttattaaactgacgttctctc 3671
Db 3601 CCATGTCAAATGCAAACTTCAATCTCCCATTTAGCTTTTATTAAACTGACGTTCTCTTC 3660
Db 8 GCCCAAGATGGCGACTGCGGCCCGAAACCTCGGCTTCAGAAACCCGAGGCTGAGTCCRAGGC 67
QY 65 tgggcccgaagctgacggagagagaggttaagggcggttagacacagagagaaggt 124
Db 68 TGGGCCCAAGGCTGACGGAGAGGAGGATGAGGTTAAGGCGGCTAGGACAAGGAAAGGT 127
QY 125 gttatgcggtgtgtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggt 184
Db 128 GTTATCGCGGCTGTGGCGCTGCGACATACAAAGACATGGGCGCAGCGTGGGATCAGCA 187
QY 185 ggaaggaagggctgagcagagcagtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggt 244
Db 188 GGAGGAAGCGGTGAGCGAGAGCGATGGGATGAGTACGCCATGGCTTCCTCCCGGAGAG 247
QY 245 ctccccgggggagtaagagtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggt 304
Db 248 CTCCCCGGGGAGTACGAGTGGGAATATGACGAAGAGGAGGAGAAACCAGCTGGAGAT 307
QY 305 tgagagactggagagagcagttgtctatcaactgtctatcaactgtctatcaactgtctatca 364
Db 367
```